

Arboricultural Survey to BS5837:2012

Premier Inn Hotels

Premier Inn, Llanelli Central East Hotel, Llandafen Road, Llanelli, SA14 9BD

05 July 2024

Thomas Ramm TechArborA

Table of Contents

If this report has been released electronically the appendices referred to herein can be found in the annexed zip folder/s as .pdf files. If this report has been released in hard copy the appendices will be bound into the back of this report. Plans are annexed separately as AO, A1, A2 or A3 as appropriate.

1.	Introduction	2
2.	Survey	2
3.	BS5837:2012 Scope	5
4.	Methodology	5
5.	Definitions	7
6.	Recommendations	8
7.	Limitations	8
8.	Appendices	9
A	ppendix 1: Table 1 Cascade chart for tree quality assessment	10
A	ppendix 2: Schedule of Trees	12
A	ppendix 3: Tree Constraints Plan	18
9.	Document Production Record	20

1. Introduction

Arbtech Consulting Limited (Arbtech) received written instruction on 26 June 2024 from Matthew Mills of M2 Project Surveyors on behalf of Whitbread Group PLC to attend Premier inn, Llanelli Central East Hotel, Llandafen Road, Llanelli, SA14 9BD; grid reference, SN 52640 00301 (site) to undertake an arboricultural survey to BS5837:2012 guidance to assess trees, hedges and major shrub groups growing on and within influencing distance of the site and to produce a Schedule of Trees, Tree Constraints Plan, Arboricultural Impact Assessment, Arboricultural Method Statement and Tree Protection Plan.

I am Thomas Ramm, an arboricultural surveyor at Arbtech Consulting Ltd. I undertook the tree survey on 5 July 2024 and subsequently have produced this summary of my findings.

I have 14No years of professional industry experience including an additional 4No years as an Arboricultural instructor & technician at Warwickshire College Group. I hold a LANTRA award in professional tree inspection as well as a Level 3 qualification with an extended Diploma in Arboriculture and Forestry and I am a member of the Arboricultural Association at the Technician grade.

The advice below and appended is underwritten by our Professional Indemnity insurance for the business practice of Arboricultural Consultancy in the sum of one million Pounds Sterling in each and every claim.

 Table 1: Documents referred to.

Document	Reference No.
Survey base drawing	9434_SITE_2D_R0
LPA pre-app comments	N/A
British Standard 5837:2012	"BS5837"
Tree Survey Schedule	Arbtech TS 01
Tree Constraints Plan	Arbtech TCP 01

2. Survey

Survey: An arboricultural survey to BS5837 of all trees within impacting distance of the site was undertaken by Thomas Ramm on 5 July 2024.

During the survey I categorised the trees using "Table 1 – Cascade chart for tree quality assessment" of the BS5837:2012 (see Appendix 1).

A total of 18No individual trees, 5No groups of trees and, 2No hedges were surveyed. Details for each of the trees surveyed are provided in the Schedule of Trees (see Appendix 2).

Multiple small trees and shrubs occupy the site, none of which meet the minimum diameter requirements to be considered for this survey.

Document	Originator	Reference Number	Title
Survey Base Drawing	Premier Surveys	9434_SITE_2D_RO	Site Survey

Table 2: Documents upon which this tree survey has been based.

Limitations: The survey was made at ground level using visual observation only. Detailed examinations, such as climbing inspections and advanced decay detection equipment were not employed, though may form part of the survey's management recommendations. Measurements were taken using specialist tapes, laser, and GPS devices. Where this was not possible, measurements are estimated.

Scope: Pre-development tree surveys make arboricultural management recommendations based exclusively upon the individual tree or group of trees condition relative to their present context (*i.e. not in relation to the proposed development*).

Legal Status: No statutory protection check has been performed. BS5837 does not draw any distinction between trees subject to statutory protection, such as a Tree Preservation Order ("TPO"), and those trees without. This is principally because a detailed planning consent overrides any TPO protection. Consequently, we do not seek to offer any comparison between or infer any difference in the quality or importance of TPO trees and other trees.

* For more information on the surveyed trees please see Arbtech Consulting Ltd, Tree Survey Schedule (Appendix 1), Tree Survey Report and Tree Constraints Plan.

Site description

The site is situated within the grounds of Premier Inn Hotels. The A4138 is located to the west with Llandafen Road to the north. The topography of the site is relatively level with no sudden or significant changes to ground level.





Figure 1: OS Map showing site location (Bing Maps)



Figure 2: Aerial Image of site with approximate red line boundary denoting area surveyed (Google Earth)



This content is for educational and informative purposes; parts of it are reproduced with the kind permission of BSI Global.

3. BS5837:2012 Scope

This standard recognises that there can be problems for development close to existing trees which are to be retained, and of planting trees close to existing structures. This standard sets out to assist those concerned with trees, in relation to construction, to form balanced judgements. It does not set out to put arguments for or against development, or for the removal or retention of trees. Where development, including demolition, is to occur, the standard provides guidance on how to decide which trees are appropriate for retention, on the means of protecting these trees during development, including demolition and construction work, and on the means of incorporating trees into the developed landscape.

4. Methodology

The methodology used to assess the trees was the British Standard 5837:2012 'Trees in Relation to Construction' tree survey method. The aim of the survey is to establish which trees are moderate and good quality; suitable for retention and justifying protection. And which trees are low or poor quality; either undesirable or unsuitable to retain and protect.

The tree survey includes all trees included in the land survey red line boundary plan, as well as any that may have been missed, and it should categorize trees or groups of trees, including woodlands for their quality and value within the existing context, in a transparent, understandable, and systematic way. Where the arboriculturist has deemed it appropriate, the trees have been tagged with small metal or plastic tags, placed as high as is convenient on the stem of each tree.

Whilst master plan proposals for the development of the site might be available, the trees have been surveyed without taking these into consideration. All detailed design work on site layout should take into consideration the results of the tree survey (and the TCP).

Trees forming groups and areas of woodland (including orchards, wood pasture and historic parkland) are identified and considered as groups where the arboriculturist has determined that this is appropriate, particularly where they contain a variety of species and age classes that could aid long-term management. It is often expedient to assess the quality and value of such groups of trees as a whole, rather than as individuals. However, an assessment of individuals within any group has been undertaken if they are open-grown or if there is a need to differentiate between them.

The quality and value of each tree or group of trees has been recorded by allocating it to one of the four categories: A, B, C, or U (highest to lowest quality respectively). The categories are differentiated on the tree survey plan by colour, or by suffixing the category adjacent to the tree identification number on the TCP.

The survey schedule lists all the trees or groups of trees. The following information is also provided:

- a) reference number (to be recorded on the tree survey plan);
- b) species (common or scientific names);
- c) height in meters (m);
- d) stem diameter in millimetres (mm) at 1.5m above adjacent ground level or immediately above the root flare for multi-stemmed trees;
- e) branch spread in meters taken at the four cardinal compass points;
- f) height of crown clearance above adjacent ground level in meters (m);
- g) age class (newly planted, young, semi-mature, early mature, mature, over mature);
- h) physiological condition (e.g. good, fair, poor, decline and dead);
- i) structural condition (e.g. good, fair, poor or not visible);
- j) comment about the tree, its location and preliminary management recommendations, including further investigation of suspected defects that require more detailed assessment and potential for wildlife habitat;
- k) The retention category referring to the quality and useful contribution in years; U = <10yrs; A = >40yrs; B = >20yrs; C = >10yrs. The retention subcategory referring to the type of amenity; 1 = Arboricultural; 2 = Landscape; 3 = Cultural including conservation (see Appendix 1 Cascade chart for tree quality assessment).

5. Definitions

Arboriculturist

An arboriculturist (or arboricultural consultant) is a person who has, through relevant education, training, and experience, gained recognized qualifications and expertise in the field of trees in relation to construction.

Tree Survey

A tree survey should be undertaken by an arboriculturist and should record information about the trees on a site independently of and prior to any specific design for development. As a subsequent task, and with reference to a design or potential design, the results of the survey should be included in the preparation of a tree constraints plan, which should be used to assist with site layout design.

Tree Constraints Plan

A TCP is plan, typically delivered as an AutoCAD drawing (.DWG file format), prepared by an arboriculturist for the purposes of layout design showing the root protection area and representing the effect that the mature height and spread of retained trees will have on layouts through shade, dominance, etc.

Root Protection Area

An RPA is a layout design tool indicating the area surrounding a tree that contains sufficient rooting volume to ensure the survival of the tree, shown in plan form in m^2 .

Construction Exclusion Zone (also termed Tree Protection Zone)

A construction exclusion or tree protection zone is an area based on the RPA (in m²), identified by an arboriculturist, to be protected during development, including demolition and construction work, by the use of barriers and/or ground protection fit for purpose to ensure the successful long-term retention of a tree.

Arboricultural Impact Assessment (AIA)

This is a study, undertaken by an arboriculturist, to identify, evaluate and possibly mitigate the extent of direct and indirect impacts on existing trees that may arise as a result of the implementation of any site layout proposal.

Tree Protection Plan (TPP)

A TPP is plan, typically delivered as an AutoCAD drawing (.DWG file format), prepared by an arboriculturist showing the finalized layout proposals, tree retention and tree and landscape protection measures detailed within the arboricultural method statement, which can be shown graphically.

Arboricultural Method Statement (AMS)

This is a methodology for the implementation of any aspect of development that has the potential to result in loss of or damage to a tree. The AMS is likely to include details of an onsite tree protection monitoring regime.

6. Recommendations

With the benefit of making an assessment of your planning proposals, I make the following recommendation to ensure that there are no irrevocable issues to the proposed retained trees and so that no conditions relating to arboriculture are attached to any planning consent secured; obtain an arboricultural report to include:

- a) An arboricultural impact assessment (AIA).
- b) An arboricultural method statement (AMS).
- c) A tree protection plan drawing (TPP).

7. Limitations

Trees were inspected from using visual observation from ground level only. Trees were not climbed or inspected below ground level. Inaccessible trees will have best estimates made about the location, physical dimensions, and characteristics. Trees have been grouped where BS5837 guides us that it is expedient to do so. Trees have been excluded from the survey if they are found by us to be sufficiently far away from the proposed developable area or if they are outside of the red line boundary plan showing the expectations of our client for the extent of the survey. BS5837 does not draw any distinction between trees subject to statutory protection, such as a Tree Preservation Order ("TPO"), and those trees without. This is principally because a detailed planning consent overrides any TPO protection. Consequently, we do not seek to offer any comparison between or infer any difference in the guality or importance of TPO trees and other trees.



8. Appendices

The following documents were released to the Client as appendices to this report:

- Survey Schedule (.PDF)
- Tree Constraints Plan drawing (.DWG & .PDF)

If you require clarification of information contained herein, please do not hesitate to contact us via 01244 661170.

Yours Sincerely,

Tom Ramm

Thomas Ramm TechArborA Arboricultural Surveyor

07512317883 thomasramm@arbtech.co.uk



Appendix 1: Table 1 Cascade chart for tree quality assessment





Premier Inn Hotels - Llanelli Central East - Arbtech TSR 01

Table 1

BS5837:2012 Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – Recommendations

Cascade chart for tree quality assessment

Category and definition	Criteria (including subcategories when appro	priate		Identification on plan							
Trees unsuitable for retention (se	ee Note)										
 Category U Trees that have serious, irremediable, structural defect, such that their early loss is expected due to collapse, including those that will become unviable after removal of other category U trees (e.g. where, for whatever reason, the loss of companion shelter cannot be mitigated by pruning). Trees that are dead or are showing signs of significant, immediate, and irreversible overall decline. Trees infected with pathogens of significance to the health and/or safety of other trees nearby, or very low quality trees suppressing adjacent trees of better quality. <i>NOTE Category U trees can have existing or potential conservation value which might be desirable to preserve; see 4.5.7.</i> 											
	1 Mainly arboricultural qualities	2 Mainly landscape qualities	3 Mainly cultural values, including conservation								
Trees to be considered for reten	tion										
Category A Trees of high quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years.	Trees that are particularly good examples of their species, especially if rare or unusual; or those that are essential components of groups or formal or semi-formal arboricultural features (e.g. the dominate and/or principal trees within an avenue).	Trees, groups, or woodlands of particular visual importance as arboricultural and/or landscape features.	Trees, groups or woodlands of significant conservation, historical, commemorative or other value (e.g. veteran trees or wood- pasture).	Light green							
Category B Trees of moderate quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years.	Trees that might be included in category A, but are downgraded because of impaired condition (e.g. presence of significant though remedial defects, including unsympathetic management and storm damage), such that they are unlikely to be suitable for retention of beyond 40 years; or trees lacking the special quality necessary to merit the category 'A' designation.	Trees present in numbers, usually growing as groups or woodlands, such that they attract a higher collective rating than they might as individuals; or trees occurring as collectives but situated so as to make little visual contribution to the wider locality.	Trees with material conservation or other cultural value.	Mid blue							
Category C Trees of low quality with an estimated remaining expectancy of at least 10 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150mm.	Unremarkable trees of very limited merit or such impaired condition that they do not qualify in higher categories.	Trees present in groups or woodlands, but without this conferring on them significantly greater collective landscape value; and/or trees offering low or only temporary/transient landscape value.	Trees with no material conservation or other cultural value.	Grey							

This content is for educational and informative purpose and has been reproduced with the kind permission of BSI Global

Arbtech Consulting Limited is registered in England and Wales: 05678552. VAT: GB903660148

Ecology - Protected Species - Licensing - Arboriculture - Biodiversity Net Gain - Land/Topographical Survey



Appendix 2: Schedule of Trees

BS5837:2012 Tree Survey

Arbtech Consulting Limited

Client:Premier Inn Hotels - Llanelli Central EastProject:Premier Inn - Llanelli Central East, Llanelli, SA14 9BDSurvey Date:05/07/2024Surveyor:Thomas Ramm

Unit 3, Well House Barns Chester Road Chester Cheshire CH4 0DH Phone: 01244661170

Tree and Tag No			1	Stems	; C	rown			RP	Dhua	Structural		Preliminary Recommendations	0-1
Species		Hght (m)	No		Ø Spread nm) (m)		Clear (m)	Age	A (m²) R (m)	Phys Condition	Condition		Survey Comment	Cat ERC
G1														1
Various		1	1	75	Ν	1	0	SM	A: 2.5	Good	C: Good			C.2
See comments for details					Е	1	0		R: 0.89		S: Good	Group) is situated to the north of the Beefeater; Group is	10+ yrs
					S	1	0				B: Good		rised of circa 20+ stems of various shrubs and	20 . ,
					W	1	0						ceous; The shrubs and herbaceous within the group are arly maintained to current dimensions.	
G2														
Various		3	1	140) N	1	0.5	SM	A: 8.9	Good	C: Good			C.1.2
See comments for details					E	1	0.5		R: 1.68		S: Good	Group	is situated to the western boundary line of site; Group is	10+ yrs
					S	1	0.5				B: Good	compo	osed of various shrubs and herbaceous with 3No stems	
					W	1	0.5						ar trees and hornbeam; The tree population within the	
												5 1	are of good vigor; Dimensions recorded represent num for group.	
G3													Estimated Mo	easurements
Various		9.9	1	210) N	3	1	SM	A: 20	Good	C: Good			B.1.2
See comments for details					Е	3	1		R: 2.52		S: Good	Group	b is situated to the east of Premier Inn behind red	20+ yrs
					S	3	1				B: Good	bricke	ed wall measuring approximately 1 m in height; Group is	,
					W	3	1					birch,	rised of circa 15+ stems with species to include silver pear, lime, common alder and scrub; Dimensions ded represent maximum for group.	
G4													Estimated Mo	easurement
Various		7.1	1	160) N	3	1	SM	A: 11.6	Good	C: Good			C.1.2
See comments for details		/11	-	100	E	3	1	011	R: 1.92	0000	S: Good			
					S	3	1				B: Good		b is situated to the north of Premier Inn car park; Group nprised of circa 20+ stems with species to include pear,	10+ yrs
					W	3	1						non alder, shrubs and herbaceous and scrub; Dimensions	
												record	led represent maximum for group.	
Age Classifications:	N	Newly plante	ed	EM	Early Mature		С	ondit	ion: C	Crown		Stems:	Ø Diameter	
-	Y	Young			Mature				S	Stem			(Eq) Equivalent stem diameter using BS5837:2012 de	finition
	SM	Semi-matur	е	OM	Over Mature				В	Basal are	а	ERC:	Estimated Remaining Contributio	
Page 1									TreeN	linder			0	5 July 2024

Tree and Tag No		Hght		Stems		rown			RP	Dhure	Structural	Preliminary Recommendations	Cat
Species		(m)	No	Ø (mm)	Spread (m)	Clea (m)			(m²) (m)	Phys Condition	Condition		ERC
G5												Estimated Mea	surement
Various		7.1	1	160	Ν	3	1 SI	4 A: :	11.6	Good	C: Good		C.1.2
See comments for details					Е	3	1	R: :	1.92		S: Good	Group is situated to the north of Premier Inn car park; Group	10+ yrs
					S	3	1				B: Good	is comprised of circa 20+ stems with species to include pear,	101 910
					W	3	1					common alder, silver birch, hawthorn shrubs and herbaceous and scrub; Dimensions recorded represent maximum for group.	
H1													
A Hedge		1	1	75	Ν	1	0 SI	4 A:2	2.5	Good	C: Good		C.2
See comments for details					Е	1	0	R: (0.89		S: Good	Linear hedge-line situated to access and egress of site; Hedge	10+ yrs
					S	1	0				B: Good	is comprised of circa 10+ stems of dogwood; Hedge is	
					W	1	0					regularly maintained on top and sides to current dimensions.	
H2													
A Hedge		1	1	75	Ν	1	0 SI	4 A:2	2.5	Good	C: Good		C.2
See comments for details					Е	1	0	R: (0.89		S: Good	Linear hedge-line situated to access and egress of site; Hedge	10+ yrs
					S	1	0				B: Good	is comprised of circa 10+ stems of dogwood; Hedge is	- / -
					W	1	0					regularly maintained on top and sides to current dimensions.	
T1													
Silver Birch		4.1	1	130	Ν	1	1 SI	4 A: 2	7.6	Good	C: Good		C.1
Betula pendula					Е	1	1	R: :	1.55		S: Good	Tree is situated to the east of the Beefeater; Naturally	10+ yrs
					S	1	1				B: Good	occurring deadwood typical for species.	- / -
					W	1	1						
T2													
Cherry		3	1	160	Ν	1	2 SI	4 A: :	11.6	Good	C: Good		C.1
Prunus sp.					Е	1	2	R: :	1.92		S: Good	Tree is situated to the south of the Beefeater; Naturally	10+ yrs
					S	1	2				B: Good	occurring deadwood typical for species.	20. ,.0
					W	1	2					····	
Т3													
Silver Birch		7.9	1	120	Ν	1	1 SI	4 A: 6	5.5	Good	C: Good		C.1
Betula pendula					Е	1	1	R: :	1.43		S: Good	Tree is situated to the north of the Beefeater; Naturally	10+ yrs
					S	1	1				B: Good	occurring deadwood typical for species.	107 913
					W	1	1						
Age Classifications:	N	Newly plante	ed	EM Ear	ly Mature		Con	dition:	С	Crown		Stems: Ø Diameter	
	Y	Young		M Mat	ure				S	Stem		(Eq) Equivalent stem diameter using BS5837:2012 defir	nition
	SM	Semi-mature)	OM Ove	er Mature				В	Basal area	1	ERC: Estimated Remaining Contributio	
Page 2									TreeN	linder		05	July 2024

Tree and Tag No		Uabb		Stems			own			RP	Dhue	C+			Preliminary Recommendations	C-+
Species		Hght (m)	No	-		oread (m)		ear m)	Age	A (m²) R (m)	Phys Condition		ructural ondition		Survey Comment	Cat ERC
T4		· · ·														
Silver Birch		7.9	1	130) N		1	1	SM	A: 7.6	Good	C: 6	Good			C.1
Betula pendula					E		1	1		R: 1.55		S: 0	Good	Tues is	- situated to the post of the Deefertery Naturally	10+ yrs
					S		1	1				B: G	Good		s situated to the north of the Beefeater; Naturally ing deadwood typical for species.	101 913
					W	1	1	1						occurr	ing deduwood typical for species.	
Т5																
Pear		6.1	1	160) N		2	2	SM	A: 11.6	Good	C: 6	Good			C.1
Pyrus Spp.					E		2	2		R: 1.92		S: 0	Good	Troo ir	s situated to the south west of the Beefeater; North	10+ yrs
					S		2	2				B: G	Good		e features.	10 / 10
					W	1	2	2						notabi		
Т6																
Common Hornbeam		3	3	187	7 (Eq) N		2	0.5	SM	A: 15.8	Good	C: 6	Good			C.1
Carpinus betulus					E		2	0.5		R: 2.24		S: 0	Good	Tree is	s situated to the west of site; Trifurcation from 0.2 m;	10+ yrs
					S		2	0.5				B: G	Good		ally occurring deadwood typical for species.	
					W	1	2	0.5							·····,	
Т7																
Common Hornbeam		5.6	1	140) N		1	0	SM	A: 8.9	Good	C: 6	Good			C.1
Carpinus betulus					E		1	0		R: 1.68		S: 0	Good	Troo is	s situated north of footpath to the Beefeater; Naturally	10+ yrs
					S		1	0				B: G	Good		ing deadwood typical for species.	
					W	1	1	0								
Т8																
Silver Birch		4.4	1	130) N		1	1	SM	A: 7.6	Good	C: 6	Good			C.1
Betula pendula					E		1	1		R: 1.55		S: 0	Good	Troo is	s situated to the south of power lines; Naturally	10+ yrs
					S		1	1				B: G	Good		ing deadwood typical for species.	20 .).0
					W	1	1	1								
Т9																
Silver Birch		3	2	158	3 (Eq) N		1	1	Υ	A: 11.3	Good	C: 6	Good			C.1
Betula pendula					E		1	1		R: 1.89		S: C	Good	Troo is	s situated within the car park of Premier Inn; Naturally	10+ yrs
					S		1	1				B: G	Good		ing deadwood typical for species.	
					W	1	1	1								
Age Classifications:	N	Newly plante	d	EM	Early Mat	ure		С	ondi	tion: (C Crown			Stems:	Ø Diameter	
ge enseemoutonor	Y	Young	-		Mature			Ū	2.141		S Stem			2.0.1101	(Eq) Equivalent stem diameter using BS5837:2012 de	efinition
		Semi-mature			Over Mat	ure					Basal are	ea		ERC:	Estimated Remaining Contributio	
Page 3											Minder)5 July 2024
Page 3										nee	winnuer					JJ JUIY ∠UZ4

Species T10 Silver Birch Betula pendula		Hght (m) 3	No	Ø (mm)	Spread (m)			Age	A (m²)	Phys	Structural	Preliminary Recommendations Survey Comment	Cat ERC
Silver Birch		3				(m)		R (m)	Condition	Condition	Survey Comment	ERC
		3											
Betula pendula			3	159 (E	q) N	1	1	Y	A: 11.5	Good	C: Good		C.1
					E	1	1		R: 1.91		S: Good	Tree is situated within the car park of Dramiar Inpu Trifurcation	10+ yrs
					S	1	1				B: Good	Tree is situated within the car park of Premier Inn; Trifurcation at ground level; Naturally occurring deadwood typical for	101 913
					W	1	1					species.	
T11													
Silver Birch		3.4	1	170	Ν	1	1	SM	A: 13.1	Good	C: Good		C.1
Betula pendula					Е	1	1		R: 2.04		S: Good	Tree is situated on bank within the car park of Premier Inn;	10+ yrs
					S	1	1				B: Good	Naturally occurring deadwood typical for species.	201 /10
					W	1	1						
T12													
Common Hornbeam		4.1	1	140	Ν	1	0	SM	A: 8.9	Good	C: Good		C.1
Carpinus betulus					Е	1	0		R: 1.68		S: Good	Tree is situated to the access and egress of site; Naturally	10+ yrs
					S	1	0				B: Good	occurring deadwood typical for species.	
					W	1	0					5	
T13													
Common Hornbeam		2.2	1	130	Ν	1	1	Y	A: 7.6	Good	C: Good		C.1
Carpinus betulus					Е	1	1		R: 1.55		S: Good	Tree is situated within car park; Naturally occurring deadwood	10+ yrs
					S	1	1				B: Good	typical for species.	201 /10
					W	1	1						
T14													
Common Hornbeam		4.1	1	140	Ν	1	0	SM	A: 8.9	Good	C: Good		C.1
Carpinus betulus					Е	1	0		R: 1.68		S: Good	Tree is situated to the access and egress of site; Naturally	10+ yrs
					S	1	0				B: Good	occurring deadwood typical for species.	101 910
					W	1	0						
T15													
Common Hornbeam		3	1	120	Ν	1	0	SM	A: 6.5	Good	C: Good		C.1
Carpinus betulus					Е	1	0		R: 1.43		S: Good	Tree is situated to the south on bank of site; Naturally	10+ yrs
					S	1	0				B: Good	occurring deadwood typical for species.	
					W	1	0						
Age Classifications:	N N	lewly plante	d	EM Early	/ Mature		C	onditi	i on: C	Crown		Stems: Ø Diameter	
		oung		M Matu					S			(Eq) Equivalent stem diameter using BS5837:2012 d	efinition
		emi-mature	•	OM Over	Mature				В	Basal area	а	ERC: Estimated Remaining Contributio	
Page 4									TreeN	linder)5 July 2024

Tree and Tag No		Hght	S	tems		own			RP	Phys	Structural		Preliminary Recommendations	Cat
Species		(m)	No	Ø (mm)	Spread (m)	Clea (m)		Age	A (m²) R (m)	Condition	Condition		Survey Comment	ERC
T16														
Common Hornbeam		3	1	120	Ν	1	0	SM	A: 6.5	Good	C: Good			C.1
Carpinus betulus					Е	1	0		R: 1.43		S: Good	Tree is	s situated to the south on bank of site; Naturally	10+ yr:
					S	1	0				B: Good		ing deadwood typical for species.	
					W	1	0							
T17														
Common Hornbeam		3.3	1	110	Ν	1	0	SM	A: 5.5	Good	C: Good			C.1
Carpinus betulus					Е	1	0		R: 1.32		S: Good	Tree is	s situated to the south on bank of site; Naturally	10+ yr:
					S	1	0				B: Good		ing deadwood typical for species.	- ,
					W	1	0							
T18														
Common Hornbeam		3.3	1	100	Ν	1	1	SM	A: 4.5	Good	C: Good			C.1
Carpinus betulus					Е	1	1		R: 1.19		S: Good	Tree is	s situated to the south on bank of site; Naturally	10+ yr:
					S	1	1 1				B: Good		ing deadwood typical for species.	,
Age Classifications:	N Y SM	Newly plante Young Semi-mature		EM Early M Matu OM Over			C	ondit	ion: C S B TreeM	Stem Basal area	1	Stems: ERC:	Ø Diameter (Eq) Equivalent stem diameter using BS5837:201 Estimated Remaining Contributio	2 definition 05 July 202



Appendix 3: Tree Constraints Plan



Arbtech Consulting Ltd, 2024

9. Document Production Record

Document number	Editor	Signature	Position	lssue number	Date
Arbtech TSR 01	Thomas Ramm	Tom Ramm	Arboricultural Surveyor	01	05/07/24

Limitations

Arbtech Consulting Ltd has prepared this report for the sole use of the above-named Client/Agent in accordance with our terms of business, under which our services were performed. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made as to the professional advice included in this report or any other services provided by us. This report may not be relied upon by any other party without the prior and express written agreement of Arbtech Consulting Ltd. The assessments made assume that the sites and facilities will continue to be used for their current purpose without significant change. The conclusions and recommendations contained in this report are based upon information provided by others and upon the assumption that all relevant information has been provided by those parties from whom it has been requested. Information obtained from third parties has not been independently verified by Arbtech Consulting Ltd.

Copyright

© This Report is the copyright of Arbtech Consulting Ltd. Any unauthorised reproduction or usage by any person other than the addressee is strictly prohibited.

arbtech